

THE RAM COMMUNITY LIAISON GROUP (CLG)
HELD AT 1830 HRS ON MON 10 FEB 20 IN THE PHOENIX HALL, NETHERAVON

Attendees		
Name	Appointment	Remarks
Ian Blair-Pilling	Wiltshire Councillor for The Collingbournes and Netheravon	Chairman
Graham Wright	Wiltshire Councillor for Durrington and Larkhill	
Fred Westmoreland	Wiltshire Councillor for Amesbury West	
Paul Oatway	Wiltshire Councillor for Pewsey Vale	
Rhod Evans	Vice Chair Amesbury Town Council	
David Burke	Chair Netheravon Parish Council	
Tina Cole	Vice-Chair Figheldean Parish Council	
Nick Tissot	Figheldean Parish Council	
Richard Roberts	Chair Enford Parish Council	
Mark Hiskett	Vice Chair Enford Parish Council, SDFFA	
Simon Vaughan-Edwards	Vice Chair Fittleton Parish Council	
John Tighe	Chair Upavon Parish Council	
Sam Fox	Wiltshire Council	
Tim Pennett	Army Headquarters	
Nick Eeles	Chair RAM	
Martin Harvey	Programme Manager, RAM	
Mary Towle	Secretary	

Apologies: John Smale, John Menzies.

Declined to attend: Richard Aubrey-Fletcher.

Ser	Item	Action
1	<p>Welcome/Opening Statement. Introductions.</p> <p>Ian Blair-Pilling opened the meeting by explaining purpose and aims of the CLG. He stated that all parishes from Amesbury to Upavon had been invited to be part of the Group as RAM had discovered from consultations that the main concern was traffic on the A345, and this would be relevant to all those parishes. Afternote: Milston Parish Meeting would be invited in future.</p>	Sec
2	<p>Terms of Reference.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was agreed that: 'Membership does not imply support for the Project' is in bold • It was agreed that : Para 2 AIM. First sentence should start 'To enable and maintain a constructive exchange ...' • Ian Blair-Pilling read out an email from Richard Aubrey-Fletcher from Protect Netheravon Down (PND) explaining why they felt unable to attend the CLG. Sam Fox recommended that the Chairman should write back and leave the door open for future attendance. • Sam Fox felt that the TOR should be a living document which can be reviewed at each meeting. • It was agreed that the CLG should be open to other groups which might be affected by the project. Any such groups would be invited to nominate a representative to attend. SDFFA will be added to the list. • RAM agreed to amend and circulate the revised TOR. 	Chair Sec RAM
3	<p>Selection of Chair.</p> <p>It was agreed that Ian Blair-Pilling should chair the meeting.</p>	
4	<p>Update Briefing on Museum Project.</p> <p>Nick Eeles outlined the most recent developments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designs for the museum were nearing completion. • The Outline Business Case has been completed and sent to the LEP. The LEP will publish it in due course, most likely after the March meeting of the LEP Board. • Ecological, UXO and archaeological surveys are being carried out. • It is expected that the Planning Application will be submitted in March. • A new museum website will be up and running shortly. <p>Nick Eeles stressed that concerns expressed by residents at the consultation events had been taken into account and, where possible, the designs have been amended in response to the points raised.</p>	

Ser	Item	Action
5	<p>Identification of Significant Local Concerns</p> <p>Ian Blair-Pilling asked everyone to record their top concerns/questions on post-it notes. These were grouped on a board for subsequent discussion. It was noted that where answers could not be provided immediately, RAM would aim to include them in the meeting minutes.</p>	RAM
6	<p>Discussion of Key Issues</p> <p>All of the questions raised under Agenda Item 5 are included in the attachment to these minutes, along with the responses provided by RAM.</p>	
7	<p>Summary and Next Steps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sam Fox recommended that another meeting should be held prior to the planning application being submitted. • Nick Eeles reconfirmed that the SWLEP had now received the updated Outline Business Case, and that it was up to its board to decide when to release it. • Nick Eeles confirmed that the Planning Application would contain more detail than the Outline Business Case in many areas, including reports on Transport and Environmental impact. He believed that this was the document which parishes would be most interested in studying. 	Sec
8	<p>AOB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nick Eeles agreed that additional questions from members of the CLG would be answered in the attachment to the minutes. It was agreed that all questions were to be submitted to the Secretary by 17 Feb 20. • It was agreed to decide on a Chairman at the start of each meeting. 	All
9	<p>Date of next meeting</p> <p>The next meeting will be in early March. There will also be meetings during the planning application process.</p>	Sec